

COURT FILE NUMBER 1701-04755
COURT COURT OF KING'S BENCH OF ALBERTA
JUDICIAL CENTRE CALGARY
PLAINTIFF N.B.
DEFENDANTS PHILIP HEEREMA, CALGARY EXHIBITION AND STAMPEDE LIMITED, and CALGARY STAMPEDE FOUNDATION



DOCUMENT **BRIEF REGARDING ADVICE AND DIRECTIONS**

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE AND CONTACT INFORMATION OF PARTY FILING THIS DOCUMENT **JENSEN SHAWA SOLOMON DUGUID HAWKES LLP**
800, 304 - 8 Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 1C2

Carsten Jensen KC, FCI Arb | Gavin Price
Kajal Ervin | Cassandra Sutter
Phone: 403-571-1526 | 403-571-0747
403-571-0745 | 403-571-1054
Email: jensenc@jssbarristers.ca | priceg@jssbarristers.ca |
ervink@jssbarristers.ca | sutterc@jssbarristers.ca
Fax: 403-571-1528
File: 13652-002

Further to the letter from Class Counsel on February 19, 2026, enclosing the Addendum to Final Report to the Court and to the Class, filed on February 18, 2026, and further to the Court's direction sent to the parties on February 26, 2026, Class Counsel reports and submits as follows:

1. At the Settlement Approval hearing on June 28, 2024, the Court directed that, following the distribution process, the data related to the claims process should be destroyed, not retained, and for Class Counsel to confirm the destruction of data as part of the report to the Court, pursuant to paragraph 15 of the Settlement Approval Order, filed July 4, 2024, which states:

“Class Counsel shall report to the Court at the conclusion of the administration of the Settlement Agreement, including reporting on notice, claims made, and distributions”.

2. Throughout the claims process, the Claims Administrator, Epiq Class Actions Services Canada, Inc. (**Epiq**), received the following data:

- (a) A chart with compiled information from Class Counsel and the Stampede Defendants to identify the list of known and potential Class Members. Such information included names, mailing addresses, phone numbers, email addresses, their role within the Young Canadians over the applicable Class period, dates attended, and any self-reported circumstances from some of the Class Members who had contacted Class Counsel;
- (b) Completed Claim Forms from the Class Members who participated in the claims process. The Claim Forms included the Class Members' contact information, banking information, details regarding their individual circumstances relevant to the claims assessment, and any supporting documents provided by the Class Member;
- (c) Additional records and circumstances reported to and received by Class Counsel, including prior questionnaires that had been completed by some Class Members;
- (d) Filed pleadings, including Affidavits sworn by some Class Members about their individual circumstances, and expert reports completed for some Class Members as part of a without prejudice settlement process;
- (e) Email correspondence between Epiq and the Class Members regarding the Claim Forms and any other information relevant to the claims assessment; and
- (f) Email correspondence between Epiq and Class Counsel regarding the Claim Forms and any other information relevant to the claims assessment.

3. As previously reported in the Final Report to the Court and to the Class, filed August 19, 2025,¹ one Class Member has expressed their dissatisfaction with the claims process and the outcome. This Class Member had contemplated making an objection to the settlement prior to the Settlement Approval hearing, but ultimately chose to not proceed with an objection at that time. The Class Member's claim was administered and the Class Member received payment.

4. This Class Member previously contacted the Court on January 8, 2025,² expressing their concerns. The Court responded to this Class Member on January 10, 2025.³

5. Class Counsel understands that this Class Member continues to have concerns and has requested that the data received by Epiq during the claims process be preserved.

¹ Appendix A: Final Report to the Court and to the Class, filed August 19, 2025, and Addendum To Final Report to the Court and to the Class, filed February 18, 2026.

² Appendix B: Email from the Class Member to Corrine Chilton, dated January 8, 2025 (identifying information of the Class Member has been redacted).

³ Appendix C: Response letter to the Class Member from Darryl B. Ruether, Executive Legal Counsel, dated January 10, 2025 (identifying information of the Class Member has been redacted).

6. To date, notwithstanding the fact that the Class Member has not provided details of their various allegations and statements made at various times, Class Counsel has tried to the best of their ability to answer questions the Class Member had about the claims and distribution process.

7. Class Counsel submits that the claims and distribution process was done correctly, as contemplated in the Settlement Agreement.

8. Given the highly confidential, personal and sensitive nature of the data received by Epiq during the claims process, and given Class Counsel's duty to the Class as a whole, Class Counsel submits that Court's prior directive regarding the destruction of data should be complied with to ensure that such information is promptly and properly disposed of.

9. In the alternative, Class Counsel submits that the records of the Class Member who has contacted the Court and Class Counsel as detailed in paragraphs 3-6 above, may be returned to that Class Member, and that all other data of all other Class Members be destroyed by Epiq.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3rd day of March, 2026.

**JENSEN SHAWA SOLOMON DUGUID HAWKES
LLP**

Per:



Cassandra Sutter
Counsel for the Class

Appendix A

COURT FILE NUMBER	1701-04755
COURT	COURT OF KING'S BENCH OF ALBERTA
JUDICIAL CENTRE	CALGARY
PLAINTIFF	N.B.
DEFENDANTS	PHILIP HEEREMA, CALGARY EXHIBITION AND STAMPEDE LIMITED, and CALGARY STAMPEDE FOUNDATION
DOCUMENT	FINAL REPORT TO THE COURT AND TO THE CLASS
ADDRESS FOR SERVICE AND CONTACT INFORMATION OF PARTY FILING THIS DOCUMENT	JENSEN SHAWA SOLOMON DUGUID HAWKES LLP 800, 304 - 8 Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta T2P 1C2 Carsten Jensen KC, FCI Arb Gavin Price Kajal Ervin Cassandra Sutter Phone: 403-571-1526 403-571-0747 403-571-0745 403-571-1054 Email: jensenc@jssbarristers.ca priceg@jssbarristers.ca ervink@jssbarristers.ca sutterc@jssbarristers.ca Fax: 403-571-1528 File: 13652-002



Further to the Settlement Approval hearing before the Honourable Justice P.R. Jeffrey on June 28, 2024, and further to the Settlement Approval Order filed on July 4, 2024, Class Counsel reports as follows:

1. Class Counsel and the Claims Administrator provided notice of the Settlement Approval Order by way of the Short and Long Notices, pursuant to the Plan of Notice set out at Schedule C to the Settlement Agreement.
2. The claims process is now concluded, and each eligible Class Member has received their distributions in accordance with their claim category and (as applicable) the points assigned to their claims.

3. The final summary of the Class Members' claims, respective categories and point allocations pursuant to the Distribution Protocol are as follows:

Claim Category	Known Number at Settlement Approval	Final Number of Class Members	Breakdown of Points	Total Points Allocated
Student Compromised Experience Claim ("SCEC")	Up to 156 ¹	7	N/A	N/A
Student Compromised Experience Enhanced Claim ("SCEEC")	Up to 156	20	N/A	N/A
Directly Impacted - Group 1 (10 base points)	8	12	6 Class Members received an additional 3 points for impacts. 1 Class Member received an additional 1 point for impacts.	139
Directly Impacted - Group 2 (8 base points)	5	9	1 Class Member received an additional 3 points for impacts. 1 Class Member received an additional 2 points for impacts. 5 Class Members received an additional 1 point for impacts.	82
Directly Impacted - Group 3 (6 base points)	10	19	1 Class Member received an additional 2 points for impacts. 6 Class Members received an additional 1 point for impacts.	122
Directly Impacted - Group 4 (3 base points)	2	2	No additional points for impacts.	6
Directly Impacted - Group 5 (1 base point)	14	18	3 Class Members received an additional 1 point for impacts.	21
TOTAL		87		370

¹ This number was based on the 195 senior male students identified by the Stampede Defendants during the class period, less the 39 known Class Members at the time of the Settlement Approval hearing

4. At the Settlement Approval hearing, based on the known claimants, Class Counsel estimated a total of 317 points to be distributed, but then added a 20% contingency for additional Class Members who had not yet contacted Class Counsel, but may come forward during the claims period to submit a claim, for an estimated total of 381 points. As can be seen in the table above, this estimate was very close to the final outcome.

5. Upon all claims received and being assessed by the Claims Administrator, each point had a value of \$16,917.89, and this formed the basis for the first distribution of funds to Class Members, which was issued by the Claims Administrator by March 31, 2025.

6. The breakdown of funds for the first distribution to Class Members was as follows:

Claim Category		Number of Class Members	Amount Awarded per Claim	Total Payment
SCEC		7	\$500.00	\$3,500.00
SCEEC		20	\$1,500.00	\$30,000.00
1 harm point	0 effect points	15	\$16,917.89	\$253,768.35
1 harm point	1 effect point	3	\$33,835.78	\$101,507.34
3 harm points	0 effect points	2	\$50,753.67	\$101,507.34
6 harm points	0 effect points	12	\$101,507.34	\$1,218,088.08
6 harm points	1 effect point	6	\$118,425.23	\$710,551.38
6 harm points	2 effect points	1	\$135,343.12	\$135,343.12
8 harm points	0 effect points	2	\$135,343.12	\$270,686.24
8 harm points	1 effect point	5	\$152,261.01	\$761,305.05
8 harm points	2 effect points	1	\$169,178.90	\$169,178.90
8 harm points	3 effect points	1	\$186,096.79	\$186,096.79
10 harm points	0 effect points	5	\$169,178.90	\$845,894.50
10 harm points	1 effect point	1	\$186,096.79	\$186,096.79
10 harm points	3 effect points	6	\$219,932.57	\$1,319,595.42
TOTAL		87		\$6,293,119.30

7. Following the Order granted on April 14, 2025, and following the receipt of additional funds for distribution, the Claims Administrator proceeded with a second distribution resulting in payment of an additional \$142.81 per point, which was issued by the Claims Administrator by July 31, 2025.

8. The breakdown of funds for the second distribution to Class Members was as follows:

Claim Category		Number of Class Members	Amount Awarded per Claim	Total Payment
1 harm point	0 effect points	15	\$142.81	\$2,142.15
1 harm point	1 effect point	3	\$285.62	\$856.86
3 harm points	0 effect points	2	\$428.43	\$856.86
6 harm points	0 effect points	12	\$856.86	\$10,282.32
6 harm points	1 effect point	6	\$999.67	\$5,998.02
6 harm points	2 effect points	1	\$1,142.48	\$1,142.48
8 harm points	0 effect points	2	\$1,142.48	\$2,284.96
8 harm points	1 effect point	5	\$1,285.29	\$6,426.45
8 harm points	2 effect points	1	\$1,428.10	\$1,428.10
8 harm points	3 effect points	1	\$1,570.91	\$1,570.91
10 harm points	0 effect points	5	\$1,428.10	\$7,140.50
10 harm points	1 effect point	1	\$1,570.91	\$1,570.91
10 harm points	3 effect points	6	\$1,856.53	\$11,139.18
TOTAL		60		\$52,839.70

9. As a result of the first and second distributions, each point had a final value of **\$17,060.70**. At the Settlement Approval hearing, Class Counsel had estimated each point to have a value of approximately \$15,000. Ultimately the point value was greater than estimated because Class Counsel had included a margin for additional claimants and impact points, and there were fewer claimants in the SCEC and SCEEC categories.

10. Payments to the Class Members were issued by wire transfer, direct deposit or cheque. Upon all final cheques clearing, the Claims Administrator will schedule the destruction of all data related to the administration of this matter. All electronic data will be securely destroyed in-house, and all paper records will be destroyed via shredding by the Claims Administrator's designated vendor on the same date.

11. Once all cheques have successfully cleared,² Class Counsel will follow up with a letter to the Court to confirm receipt of all payments by the Class Members and the destruction of all data by the Claims Administrator in the manner noted above.

12. Pursuant to section 5.2 of the Settlement Agreement:

- (a) The Stampede Defendants issued a public apology in the form attached as Schedule "G" to the Settlement Agreement;

² All amounts from the first distribution have been paid to and received by the Class Members. As of the date of this report, all amounts from the second distribution have been paid to the Class Members, and most funds have been received. The Claims Administrator is awaiting confirmation of successful deposit for 5 cheques that were issued for the second distribution and mailed out to those respective Class Members.

- (b) The Stampede Defendants carried out Additional Programs, as set out in the Status Report on April 2, 2025, attached as **Exhibit "A"** hereto; and
- (c) An online survey was distributed to Class Members by the Claims Administrator on behalf of the Stampede Defendants to seek input on new initiatives. The survey remained open until July 3, 2025. The Stampede Defendants partnered with Calgary Communities Against Sexual Abuse (**CCASA**) on training, policy review, and on the development of the survey questions. The CCASA will be involved in helping to review the results. Stone-Olafson, a third-party research firm, collected the survey information, ensuring its confidentiality. Participation in this survey was entirely voluntary.

13. During the claims process and the implementation of the settlement, Class Counsel have received communications from numerous Class Members who have expressed their satisfaction with the process and the result. However, Class Counsel have received communications from one Class Member expressing their dissatisfaction with the claims process and the outcome. This Class Member had contemplated making an objection to the settlement prior to the Settlement Approval hearing, but ultimately chose to not proceed with an objection at that time. This Class Member contacted the Court on January 8, 2025, expressing their concerns. The Court responded to this Class Member on January 10, 2025. At all times, Class Counsel and the Claims Administrator have responded to this Class Member on a timely basis and have provided information requested to the best of their ability. Class Counsel understands that this Class Member continues to have concerns and has requested an appearance before the Court. While Class Counsel does not believe that a Case Management Conference is necessary, should the Court believe one is needed to address this matter, Class Counsel would be pleased to arrange the same.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 14th day of August, 2025.

**JENSEN SHAWA SOLOMON DUGUID HAWKES
LLP**

Per:



Cassandra Sutter
Counsel for the Class

EXHIBIT "A"

Calgary Stampede Foundation – Additional Programs - Status Report April 2, 2025

Agreement:

In addition to the enhanced safety measures which have already been put in place, the Calgary Stampede Foundation will undertake to carry out Additional Programs including but not limited to:

- (1) providing trauma-informed training for youth program leadership and staff; and*
- (2) hosting a safety and wellness learning forum with youth-serving organizations in Calgary and surrounding areas*

Trauma-informed training:

Trauma-informed sexual violence training was provided to 69 youth program leadership, staff and faculty in Fall 2024 through Calgary Communities Against Sexual Abuse (CCASA). CCASA is the primary sexual harassment, sexual abuse and sexual assault crisis, counselling and education service-provider for Calgary and the surrounding areas, and are leaders in the field. In addition, in Winter 2025, the Foundation extended this training to 275 youth program participants from the Young Canadians, Stampede Showband, and Showriders programs. The training program was adapted from the established training program CCASA provides in Calgary schools, and includes resources shared afterwards. The training covers an overview of sexual violence, supportive ways to respond to disclosures, and prevention of future occurrences by challenging harmful behaviors and promoting awareness of healthy relationships. We will offer the training again in the 2025-26 programming year with further program expansion to include board-level leadership.

Safety and wellness learning forum:

The safety and wellness learning forum planning is underway. The date has been set (Nov. 27, 2025) and the venues are booked. The Foundation has engaged a team to work together to deliver the Forum, including community partner organizations and forum leadership. In March and April, the Foundation and our partners are undertaking focus groups with community youth-serving organizations to inform forum design and content. Specific curriculum and speakers will be confirmed in the spring and summer. The vision of the forum is that, through the convening of youth-serving organizations at an initial one-day event, a sense of community is fostered that catalyzes ongoing connection and collaboration towards building safer places and programs for youth. The forum's working objectives are that a) knowledge and experience with safety practices is shared, b) attendees are equipped with new learning to enhance safety practices in their own programs or spaces, and c) groundwork is laid for ongoing engagement, commitment, and community of practice for youth safety. These objectives will be revisited with feedback from class members (see below).

Apology letter & class engagement

The letter of apology sets out that the Calgary Stampede Foundation will engage class members to seek input on these additional initiatives, at their option, in a trauma-informed environment. In consultation with CCASA, Onward Psychology, and Stone-Olafson, the Foundation has developed a survey that will be distributed to class members in April 2025.

COURT FILE NUMBER 1701-04755

COURT COURT OF KING'S BENCH OF ALBERTA

JUDICIAL CENTRE CALGARY

PLAINTIFF N.B.

DEFENDANTS PHILIP HEEREMA, CALGARY EXHIBITION AND STAMPEDE LIMITED, and CALGARY STAMPEDE FOUNDATION

DOCUMENT **ADDENDUM TO FINAL REPORT TO THE COURT AND TO THE CLASS**

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE AND CONTACT INFORMATION OF PARTY FILING THIS DOCUMENT **JENSEN SHAWA SOLOMON DUGUID HAWKES LLP**
800, 304 - 8 Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 1C2

Carsten Jensen KC, FCI Arb | Gavin Price
Kajal Ervin | Cassandra Sutter
Phone: 403-571-1526 | 403-571-0747
403-571-0745 | 403-571-1054
Email: jensenc@jssbarristers.ca | priceg@jssbarristers.ca | ervink@jssbarristers.ca | sutterc@jssbarristers.ca
Fax: 403-571-1528
File: 13652-002



Further to the Final Report to the Court and to the Class, filed August 19, 2025, Class Counsel reports as follows:

1. The Claims Administrator has confirmed all payments to the Class Members have now cleared, with the exception of one cheque payment pursuant to the second distribution in the amount of \$142.81.
2. Despite several attempts by both Class Counsel and the Claims Administrator to contact this Class Member, the cheque stale dated on January 13, 2026.
3. Accordingly, pursuant to section 6.4(A) of the Settlement Agreement, the amount of \$142.81 was paid as a donation to the Luna Child and Youth Advocacy Center on or about February 3, 2026.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11th day of February, 2026.

**JENSEN SHAWA SOLOMON DUGUID HAWKES
LLP**



Per: _____

Cassandra Sutter
Counsel for the Class

Lisa James

From: Corinne Chilton <Corinne.Chilton@albertacourts.ca>
Sent: January 10, 2025 9:40 AM
To: Darryl Ruether
Subject: FW: Time Sensitive For Paul Jefferies - Calgary Stampede Class Action
Attachments: Objection to Settlement [REDACTED].pdf

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: January 8, 2025 10:45 AM
To: Corinne Chilton <Corinne.Chilton@albertacourts.ca>
Subject: Fwd: Time Sensitive For Paul Jefferies - Calgary Stampede Class Action

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CTS Caution: This email is from an external source. Do not open attachments, click on links or scan QR codes unless you trust the sender. If in doubt, contact the CTS Help Desk.

Mr Jefferies

thank you for taking the time to review this email
i am part of the class action suit against the calgary stampede
i received the second highest honorarium for my contribution

I have just a couple of questions

- 1) what was the number of class members at the time of the settlement agreement you determined it was fair reasonable etc
 - 2) most importantly how many in the highest tier of abuse? i read the settlement and is it 73? and if so what was the projected number for the end of the deadline
 - 3) the media has reported wildly different numbers
 - 4) has anyone checked with the claims administrator to see what that number now is?
- attached is what i tried to submit and was basically bullied into withdrawing

"I do find it fair and reasonable in these circumstances based on the evidence and didn't see any reason why it wouldn't satisfy that definition.

I approve it, I find service to be good and sufficient."

is what you said so please let me know what you data you considered

The 39 members in the class-action suit will each receive between \$500 and \$200,000?

I am not trying to be difficult

but this has been a painful damaging process with lots of incorrect information in the media noone ever tried to correct

so not only am i asking what your understanding was at the time

the class action period still had 6 months so what was the estimate on the final number

this could get very messy and uncomfortable for the class action members, lawyers and perhaps yourself if we all thought it was a different number - notice how i dont say the stampede - because to date they have had little accountability or impact

attached is my objection

perhaps had the pressure not been put on to withdraw it could have generated what is clearly a conversation that should have happened

i do not mean to be critical of yourself - it is the process and lack of transparency and accountability and that noone in an authority position explained to the board members of the stampede they do not have the privilege of being blatantly discriminatory based on sexual orientation - and they are actually ignorant and to be honest look like a bunch of idiots because this is not an issue around sexual orientation it is about abuse of underage children

The stampede thinks they were able to get away with this without much of any impact - but i agreed with JSS to wait until the end of the class period to take action

And here we are today

Statement of Objection to Proposed Settlement Agreement

Regarding Philip Heerema, Calgary Exhibition and Stampede Limited, and Calgary Stampede Foundation Class Action

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

I, [REDACTED], a class member referenced as RS in the court documents, have been a part of this class action since February 15, 2022. Throughout the proceedings, I have diligently cooperated with legal counsel, providing comprehensive accounts of the abuse I suffered at the hands of Phil Heerema, as well as pertinent personal and financial information.

While initially supportive of the process, I must now express my vehement objection to the proposed settlement agreement. My objections stem from significant concerns that must be addressed prior to any settlement approval. These objections are outlined below, and I respectfully request the opportunity to address these concerns at the hearing scheduled for June 28.

1. Lack of Information Transparency:

- Despite my extensive participation, crucial details regarding the composition of the class and the distribution of compensation remain inaccessible.
- Specifically, I am unaware of how many class members fall into various compensation categories, including those most significantly impacted by the abuse.

2. Absence of Consideration for Individual Experiences:

- The proposed settlement fails to acknowledge the diverse experiences and identities of class members, particularly regarding sexual orientation and its profound impact on the abuse suffered.
- As someone who identified as heterosexual prior to the abuse, my subsequent struggles with sexuality and relationships have been profound and enduring, yet the settlement fails to address these nuanced experiences adequately.

3. Personal Impact and Inadequate Redress:

- The abuse inflicted by Heerema has wrought devastation upon my life, including the loss of my career as one of the top real estate agents in cottage country and a marked deterioration in my mental health.
- The proposed settlement, in its current form, offers inadequate redress for the extensive harm endured by myself and fellow class members, failing to provide meaningful support for recovery and rehabilitation.

4. Lack of Accountability and Due Process:

- Despite my persistent efforts to bring attention to additional instances of abuse by former employees, such as Rod Gordon, there has been a glaring lack of response from legal counsel.

- The settlement process appears to prioritize expedience over thorough investigation and accountability, perpetuating a cycle of institutional neglect that further marginalizes survivors.

5. Request for Police Reporting and Independent Resolution:

- In light of recent revelations regarding further instances of abuse involving Rod Gordon, I am resolutely committed to pursuing independent legal action and reporting these crimes to the authorities.
- It is imperative that any settlement agreement does not preclude individual recourse against the Stampede defendants for separate instances of abuse, ensuring survivors' rights to justice and accountability.

6. Detailed Personal Experience:

- My traumatic experience with Philip Heerema began in March 1988 when he engaged in inappropriate behavior, exploiting his position of authority within the Young Canadians organization.
- Heerema's insidious abuse inflicted profound confusion and trauma, shattering my sense of identity and leading to enduring struggles with sexuality and relationships.
- Despite reporting the abuse to Bill Avery, the toxic culture within the organization enabled Heerema to continue his predatory behavior unchecked, underscoring the urgent need for accountability and systemic reform within the Stampede organization.

7. Call for Comprehensive Redress:

- I implore the court to prioritize the well-being and justice of class members, ensuring that any settlement agreement comprehensively addresses the diverse needs and experiences of survivors.
- Compensation should reflect the severity and lasting impact of the abuse, providing meaningful support for recovery, rehabilitation, and the pursuit of justice.

In conclusion, I urge the court to carefully consider these objections and take decisive action to rectify the shortcomings of the proposed settlement agreement. Justice demands accountability, transparency, and meaningful redress for survivors of abuse.

Attachment: Description of Contact with Philip Heerema

[Include the provided description of contact with Philip Heerema, formatted as an attachment to the statement.]

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely, [REDACTED]

DARRYL RUETHER
EXECUTIVE LEGAL COUNSEL
DIRECTOR - COMMUNICATIONS
darryl.ruether@albertacourts.ca



COURT OF KING'S BENCH OF ALBERTA

CALGARY COURTS CENTRE
601 – 5th Street S.W.
Calgary, AB
T2P 5P7

January 10, 2025

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]:

Re: N.B. v Heerema et al – Action No. 1701 04755

Your email correspondence dated January 8, 2025, and attachment, to Justice Paul Jeffrey of the Court of King's Bench of Alberta has been forwarded to me. Please be advised that it is inappropriate to communicate directly with a Justice of the Court of King's Bench about a matter that is or has been before the Court, outside of formal court processes. I am copying this response and your January 8, 2025 correspondence to Mr. Jensen as counsel for the plaintiff class and Mr. Ryan as counsel for the defendant Calgary Stampede.

On June 24, 2024, Justice Jeffrey reviewed and approved the terms of a settlement agreement per s.35 of the *Class Proceedings Act*, SA 2003, c-16.5. He gave reasons for his decision to approve the settlement agreement at that time. Justices cannot give additional reasons or respond to questions about decisions they have made outside of formal reasons for judgment. Moreover, with the approval of the settlement agreement, Justice Jeffrey's role in respect of this matter is concluded, and neither he nor any other Justice of the Court of King's Bench has jurisdiction to take any further action in response to your correspondence.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Darryl B. Ruether', written over a white background.

Darryl B. Ruether

cc. Carsten Jensen KC
via email: jensenc@jssbarristers.ca

Cory Ryan
via email : cryan@wt.ca